



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/058,720	08/06/98	DELF	960-015

JOHN F WARD  
WARD & OLIVO  
708 THIRD AVENUE  
NEW YORK NY 10017

LM81/0627

EXAMINER

REDMON, J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2744

15

DATE MAILED: 06/27/00

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/036,721

Applicant

Delp et al.

Examiner  
Joy K. Redmon

Group Art Unit  
2744



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joy K. Redmon

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) David Hill

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview week of June 12, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

In response to Mr. Hill's inquiry of the status of this case, Examiner informed Mr. Hill that the original first Office Action, paper no. 10, will be remailed by the Office. Mr. Hill of Ward & Olivo, never received a copy of the detailed office action, due to an improperly accepted Revocation and Power of Attorney filed on August 13, 1998. According to communication mailed on November 23, 1999 from the Special Program Law Office, to Ward & Olivo, the Technology Center Art Unit 2744 will remail the Office Action (paper no. 10).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

JOY REDMON  
PATENT EXAMINER

7/13/2000

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.